

# Prevention of Contrast-Induced Nephropathy with Sodium Bicarbonate: Randomized Trial of Hydration Fluids

NOTES

*Jeremiah H. Holleman, MD, Charlotte, NC; Gregory J. Merten, MD; W. Patrick Burgess, MD, PhD*

## Background

Contrast-induced nephropathy remains a common complication of radiographic procedures. Consistent evidence of benefit in the face of preexisting renal insufficiency has been shown only by pretreatment hydration with saline, nonionic contrast, low- or iso-osmolar contrast, and smaller volumes of contrast. Prophylactic use of the free radical scavenger, N-acetylcysteine has shown benefit in some studies and in a recent meta-analysis, supporting the hypothesis that contrast-induced renal failure is a result of free radical generation. We hypothesized that low renal tubular pH contributes to free radical formation and renal failure from contrast. Thus, NaHCO<sub>3</sub> should be superior to NaCl in preventative hydration. All prophylactic protocols to prevent contrast-induced nephropathy include the infusion of sodium chloride (NaCl) either alone or with other agents. However, in prophylactic hydration to combat contrast-induced nephropathy, it is possible that the most efficacious anion for sodium is not chloride (Cl), but bicarbonate (HCO<sub>3</sub>). As a consequence of the kidney's role in excreting inorganic acids, urine is usually acidic, creating a setting that may facilitate free-radical mediated injury. Free radical formation in human tissue is subject to the biochemical milieu: promoted by an acidic environment, and reduced by an environment with the pH of normal extracellular fluid. Since a postulated mechanism of contrast-induced nephropathy is oxidant damage by free radicals, attempts to alkalinize renal tubular fluid may reduce renal injury.

## Method

The objective was to examine the efficacy of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> compared to NaCl for preventative hydration before and after contrast. The design involved a prospective, open, randomized infusion of 154 mEq/L NaCl versus NaHCO<sub>3</sub> with serum creatinine levels measured at baseline, 24 and 48 hours after contrast. Between September 2002 and June 2003, 118 patients at a single center with serum creatinine

> 1.1 mg/dL were randomized to receive either NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (n = 60) or NaCl (n = 58) before and after iopamidol (370 mg iodine/mL). Patients received either NaCl or NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 154 mEq/L, as a bolus of 3 mL/kg over 1 hour prior to contrast, followed by an infusion of

1 mL/kg/hr for 6 hours after the procedure. Contrast-induced nephropathy, defined as an increase of 25% or more in serum creatinine within 48 hours of contrast.

## Results

There were no group differences in age, sex, incidence of diabetes, ethnicity, or contrast volume. Baseline serum creatinine (1.7 [0.3] mg/dL for NaCl; 1.9 [0.7] mg/dL for NaHCO<sub>3</sub>) was slightly but significantly higher in NaHCO<sub>3</sub>-treated patients (p = .036). The primary end point of contrast-induced nephropathy occurred in 13.8% of patients infused with NaCl but in only 1.7% of those receiving NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (95% CI of the difference, 2.7–21.6%; p = .016). A follow-up registry of 106 patients receiving prophylactic NaHCO<sub>3</sub> has resulted in one case of contrast-induced nephropathy (0.9%). A subsequent analysis of the NaHCO<sub>3</sub> group stratified for eGFR has demonstrated that the incidence of renal failure following contrast exposure following pretreatment is independent of pre-procedure renal function.

## Conclusions

Hydration with NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, which requires just 1 hour preparation prior to contrast exposure, is more protective than NaCl for prophylaxis of contrast-induced renal failure.

## References

1. Merten GJ, Burgess WP, Gray LV, et al. Prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy with sodium bicarbonate: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2004;291:2328–34.